United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,779	07/22/2003	Robert W. Jewell	200209507-1	6785	
	7590 01/23/2007	EXAMINER			
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			MORRISON, THOMAS A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3653	·	
			··		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/624,779	JEWELL, ROBERT W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thomas A. Morrison	3653		

	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	, , , ,
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11			(PT∩L-324)
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	: <i>.</i>		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) with development and the proposed amendment(s): a) The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	`	•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	· ·	W	
	SUPERVIS	TRICK MACKEY ORY PATENT EXAMI OLOGY CENTER 260	NER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

The request for reconsideration does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments do not overcome the rejections set forth in the 10/20/2006 Final Rejection. In the Response to Arguments section of the 10/20/2006 Final Rejection, the examiner has pointed out the Figures in the prior art that show all of the claimed elements. It is noted that all of the independent claims 1, 10, 12 and 19 of the instant application recite "comprising:" and then recite the claimed elements. In other words, these are open ended independent claims that do not limit all of the movement, steering and alignment of the print media to be performed only by the plurality of media carriers/belts in Japanese Publication No. 56-113641. Such media carriers/belts contribute to the movement, steering and alignment of the print media, and therefore meet the claims. The fact that another element (e.g., element 7 of Japanese Publication No. 56-113641) may or may not help to contribute to the movement, steering and alignment of the print media does not matter, particularly in view of the open ended claiming in claims 1, 10, 12 and 19. One way to limit the claims to having only the media carriers/belts do all of the movement, steering and alignment of the print media would be to amend independent claims 1, 10, 12 and 19 to recite "consisting of". The examiner takes a similar position with regard to applicant's remarks about the rejections in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,877,234 (Mandel).